1 2		
2		
_		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PAUL ANTHONY LYNCH,	Case No. 2:21-cv-01842-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL RULES RESPONSE DUE WITHIN TWENTY-ONE
13	v.	
14	P.J. JONES, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	DAYS
16		
17	On November 28, 2022 defendants filed a motion to dismiss. ECF No. 19. To date,	
18	plaintiff has not filed a response to defendants' motion.	
19	In cases where a party is incarcerated and proceeding without counsel, a responding party	
20	is required to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition not more twenty-one days after the	
21	date the motion is served. E.D. Cal. L.R. 230(l). Failure "to file an opposition or to file a	
22	statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the	
23	motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions." <i>Id</i> .	
24	To manage its docket effectively, the court requires litigants to meet certain deadlines.	
25	The court may impose sanctions, including dismissal of a case, for failure to comply with court	
26	orders or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; Hells Canyon Pres. Council	
27	v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41	
28	(9th Cir. 1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to	
		1

Case 2:21-cv-01842-JDP Document 21 Filed 01/11/23 Page 2 of 2

administer justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. *See Pagtalunan v. Galaza*, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Plaintiff will be given a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the case for his failure to timely file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion. Plaintiff's failure to respond to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a court order and will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and for failure to comply with the court's local rules. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall, within twenty-one days, file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>January 11, 2023</u>

JEREMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

. .